



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/811,693	03/29/2004	Christopher M. Lane	00917P0179US	1349	
32116 7	7590 11/29/2005	EXAMINER			
	LLIPS, KATZ, CLARK	ESTREMSKY, C	ESTREMSKY, GARY WAYNE		
500 W. MADISON STREET SUITE 3800 CHICAGO, IL 60661			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3676		
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/200	DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			693	LANE ET AL.					
			er	Art Unit					
····		Gary Est	•	3676					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	lon .							
2a)[	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖾	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1,2,18,29-31,34 and 46-50</u> is/are rejected.								
	☑ Claim(s) <u>3-17,19-28,32,33 and 35-45</u> is/are objected to.								
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P 'No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/1/05</u> .	O-948) TO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te	O-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear which part is referred to as "the part".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 18, 29, 30, 31, 34, 46, 51, and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. Application Publication 6,183,024 to Schultz.

Schultz '024 teaches Applicant's claim limitations including a "base" - the top rail (20) of the window, a "latch system" - including 47, an "actuating system" - including 44,47,48 and the illustrated spring, a "first link" - 46.

As regards claim 2, reference teaches a "second link" - 48.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

As regards claim 18, the left/right stiles of the window read on "first and second supports" where the rail in which the latch is mounted comprises a square tube.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 6,183,024 to Schultz.

Although the Schultz '024 reference does not disclose specifics of the sash stile and rail construction, the examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art to form sashes such as the one illustrated in Schultz '024 from a plurality of straifght sections releasably connected at their corners. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to manufacture the sash of Schultz '024 from a plurality of straight sections releasably connected at the corners in order to simplify assembly procedures.

### Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-17, 19-28, 32, 33, and 35-45 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. Claims 47-50 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 5,947,534 to Zarrzycki.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6,340,183 to Ramsauer.
  - U.S. Pat. No. 6,419,284 to Kutschat.
  - U.S. Pat. Application Publication 2002/0195826 to Fountaine.
  - U.S. Pat. Application Publication 2004/0222647 to Smith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Estremsky whose telephone number is 571 272-7055. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thur 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571 272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,693

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary/Estremsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676 Page 5